UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,274	04/11/2008	Jin Ho Song	1455-062312	5731
28289 THE WEBB LA	7590 08/25/201 ¹ AW FIRM, P.C.	EXAMINER		
700 KOPPERS	BUILDING	PALABRICA, RICARDO J		
436 SEVENTH PITTSBURGH	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3663	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/589,274	SONG ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Rick Palabrica	3663				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence ad	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Ju</u>	lv 2010					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
′=	,—						
3)[closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the practice under L	x parte Quayle, 1999 O.D. 11,	400 0.0. 210.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	∑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 7</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🛛	Claim(s) <u>2,3,5,6,8 and 9</u> is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's 7/30/10 Amendment, which directly amended claim 1, submitted a Replacement Drawing, and traversed the rejection of claims in the 4/30/10 Office action, is acknowledged. With the exception of Rosewell, the examiner agrees that the amended claims define over the applied art.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant traversed applied art, Rosewell, on the ground that the reference:

"fails to disclose a mixer including piping connecting to and extending from each of the compressed gas tank and the cooling water storage tank mixing inert gas supplied from the compressed gas tank with cooling water supplied from the cooling water storage tank as in the claimed invention." Underlining provided.

The examiner disagrees because the traverse is based on applicant's misinterpretation of his own claim language.

The claim limitation upon which applicant bases his traverse is as follows:

"a mixer including piping connecting to and extending from each of the compressed gas tank and the cooling water storage tank, the piping from the compressed gas tank and the piping from the cooling water storage tank being connected, thereby mixing inert gas supplied from the compressed gas tank with cooling water supplied from the cooling water storage tank."

Underlining provided.

It appears that applicant alleges that Rosewell does not meet the "thereby mixing inert gas ..." limitation. The examiner disagrees.

The term, "thereby", is defined by the dictionary as "by that" or "by that means" (see Ref. U). Clearly, if one replaces "thereby" with "by that means" in the above limitation, the "that means" refers to the <u>condition</u> preceding the "thereby". The condition

Art Unit: 3663

stated after "thereby" is the <u>result or consequence</u> of the condition preceding the "thereby."

In the previous Office action, the examiner has demonstrated that Rosewell meets the condition preceding the "thereby", i.e., the piping connecting to and extending from each of the compressed gas tank and the cooling water storage tank, the piping from said respective tanks connected to each other. Thus, Rosewell also meets,

"mixing inert gas supplied from the compressed gas tank with cooling water supplied from the cooling water storage tank."

Clearly, Rosewell meets all limitations of the claim, as presently set forth by the claim language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over:
- a) Rosewell (U.S. 4,310,385) alone or in view of either one of Kuljis et al. (U.S. 7,218,101) or Fife (U.S. 5,802,125).

The reasons are the same as those stated in section 7 of the 4/30/10 Office action, as further clarified in section 2 above, which reasons are herein incorporated.

Claim Objection

Art Unit: 3663

4. Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 8 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick Palabrica whose telephone number is 571-272-6880. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-4:30, Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3663

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rick Palabrica/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3663 August 23, 2010